Proof your CANopen products – conformance and interoperability

Holger Zeltwanger

The bell rings. The apprentice opens the door of the CiA office. The postman hands-over a package, which Oskar Kaplun, one of CiA’s engineers performing the conformance tests, already expects. He opens the package. It contains the CANopen device to be tested, and the related Electronic Data Sheet (EDS) and the handbook, of course. He switches on the PC, which runs the CANopen Conformance Testing (CCT) software. The engineer working with CiA since three years powers the device, sets the CAN bit-rates of the tool and the devices to 250 kbit/s, and connects the bus-lines. This is the maximum bit-rate, which this device-under-test (DUT) supports. After reading-in the EDS, he checks its conformity by means of the free-of-charge EDS checker maintained by Vector Informatik (Germany). After some minor corrections of the EDS, he links it to the test tool and starts the test procedure. Before he has set the node-ID to a random value. The conformance test runs  more or less automatically. He goes back to his desk continuing editing CANopen profile specifications and answering technical question by email.

In my 10 years experience, about 50 per cent of the devices submitted for CANopen conformance testing pass the tests without serious problems, the others require a review of the software and a second test session or more.

Thilo Schumann

Figure 1: Current CANopen Conformance Test (CCT) tool

In my 10 years experience, about 50 per cent of the devices submitted for CANopen conformance testing pass the tests without serious problems, the others require a review of the software and a second test session or more.

Thilo Schumann
Conformance test limits
The CANopen conformance test plan covers just the CiA 301 functionality. Additional tests for CiA 302 functions need to be specified. Test plans for the CANopen profiles have been partly developed, but they have not been implemented in the current CANopen Conformance Test (CCT) tool. This will be done by plug-ins on request of CiA’s Special Interest Groups. However, such profile tests require an upper tester, which is device-dependent. This upper tester needs communication to existing lower tester, which is the CCT software tool running on a Windows PC.

Interoperability test limits
The current CANopen interoperability test stand in the CiA office is based on a mid-range PLC. It is intended to use also other host controllers with NMT master functionality. Most of the currently performed test procedures derive from Schneider Electric’s internal test experiences gained in its own test center in the last couple of years. CANopen devices implementing an application profile could not be tested in this system. For them plug-fests or demonstrators only integrating devices compliant to the same application profile are the right choice to test interoperability.

The test pattern depends on the CANopen functionality of the DUT as described in its EDS. This means, the DUT is tested against its own description. If the received responses are not confor-mant to the CiA 301 test specification, the CCT software generates a failure report or in some cases just a warning. The test report goes to Thilo Schumann responsible for CANopen conformance testing services in order to discuss the test result. The failure is not a failure: It is caused by a known error in the test tool. The warnings are also no failures: They are just hints for the device designer that the tested unit could not be used for all applications. Normally, this should be mentioned in the handbook as application limits.

Conformance testing is like spell and grammar checking. This means, even if the device has passed the conformance testing successfully, this doesn’t guarantee interoperability with other CANopen devices. Of course, CANopen conformance tested devices have a higher probability to be interoperable compared with such that have not passed the test. However, like in human communication, you can respect grammar rules and spelling, but your discussion partner misinterprets or misunderstands you. In particular, the conversation between males and females is sometimes behaving like this. On the other hand, if two dialog partners violate the grammar rule in the same manner, they will understand each other. They are interoperable. If in CANopen you violate the rule of the length of an SDO (service data object) message (it has to be 8 byte), and the involved CANopen devices accept that, they are interoperable but not confor-mant to CiA 301.

“Conformance testing is like spell and grammar checking. This means, even if the device has passed the conformance testing successfully, this doesn’t guarantee interoperability with other CANopen devices. However, like in human communication, you can respect grammar rules and spelling, but your discussion partner misinterprets or misunderstands you. In particular, the conversation between males and females is sometimes behaving like this. On the other hand, if two dialog partners violate the grammar rule in the same manner, they will understand each other. They are interoperable. If in CANopen you violate the rule of the length of an SDO (service data object) message (it has to be 8 byte), and the involved CANopen devices accept that, they are interoperable but not conformant to CiA 301. However, like in human communication, you can respect grammar rules and spelling, but your discussion partner misinterprets or misunderstands you. In particular, the conversation between males and females is sometimes behaving like this. On the other hand, if two dialog partners violate the grammar rule in the same manner, they will understand each other. They are interoperable. If in CANopen you violate the rule of the length of an SDO (service data object) message (it has to be 8 byte), and the involved CANopen devices accept that, they are interoperable but not conformant to CiA 301.

CIA members (ESD, Micro Control, Port, and Sandvik) have developed jointly the new CANopen Conformance Test software. It overcomes some problems of the predecessor, which has been predeveloped by National Instruments (NI) end of the last century. A couple of years ago, NI has handed over it to CiA office for further maintenance and further development. The new CANopen Conformance Test software uses the same low level software interface to the CAN hardware – the COTI interface. Several vendors of PC/CAN interfaces support this interface (you find a list of tested

Reiner Zitzmann

For interoperability testing we organize plug-fests, we provide a ‘golden’ CANopen system, and we build demonstrators for dedicated CANopen application profiles.
Janz Tec AG, previously known as Janz Automationssysteme AG, has been one of the leading manufacturers of electronic assemblies and complete industrial computer systems since 1982. The future-oriented and extremely reliable technology from Paderborn is in demand the world over: well-known companies from the mechanical and facility engineering, power and energy, transport and traffic, the medical technology and automotive sectors are all part of our satisfied customer base.

Good communication is very important nowadays – not just in real life, but also in machines, plant and complex industrial applications from a wide range of industries. This applies especially if error-free transmission of data is required due to more and more compact construction and the necessity for more performance in the case of distributed systems. For this reason, Janz Tec AG also places great value on innovative and sophisticated technology in the Industrial Communication business sector. The InCom products developed in-house enable the control systems for your machines, plan and systems to be kept at the highest level.

Did you ever think that good communication can be so simple? You can find out more details about the services offered by Janz Tec AG in the Industrial Communication sector during a personal consultation.
The ‘golden’ CANopen network

At the CiA booth on SPS/IPC/Drives 2012 exhibition in Nuremberg (Germany), the interoperability test system will be shown. In contrast to the CANopen conformance test, the aim of the interoperability test is to assure that the CANopen device is capable of interacting with a variety of other CANopen devices (made by different manufacturers), all integrated and communicating with one another in one network. The test stand was built up with CANopen devices provided by CiA member companies such as Fritz Kübler, Ixxat Automation, Maxon Precision Motors, Micro Control, Port as well as Posital, Schneider Electric Automation and Vector Informatik.

The heart of the test stand is the mid-range Modicon M340 programmable logic controller by Schneider Electric. Further CANopen devices are I/O modules, encoders, drives, temperature sensors, and so on. The network is dimensioned to run all recommended CANopen bit-rates (1000, 800, 500, 250, 125, 50, and 20 kbit/s).

The device under test (DUT) is connected with a stub cable to the network and is afterwards integrated to the PLC’s network setup by an engineer of CiA. The configuration as such, depends on a variety of possible test sequences that can be chosen. The test sequences include stress tests affecting the physical layer as well as a variety of other tests that aim on checking if the DUT’s CANopen functionality behaves properly.

When it comes to tests affecting the physical layer, CiA engineers trigger various emulations of line failures, short circuits as well as interruptions to check how the DUT responds. However, the main focus of the interoperability test lies on checking if the implemented CANopen functions work properly during interaction with other devices on the network. CiA staff worked out a series of test sequences including basic tests, checking the heartbeat functionality, up to advanced device tests in which node-ID or the bit-rate are changed with the usage of the LSS (layer set-up) module, and/or the SYNC counter (if implemented).

To make the variety of test sequences practically relevant, most of the tests are fulfilled with different busloads. Different scenarios are distinguished in case usage of different busloads – a low, an average and a high busload. With the resulting information, device manufacturers are able to get a statement at what busload their devices are still able to communicate correctly.

The service of interoperability testing aims to really see, where the problems are when devices are integrated in networks in the field. Often it is not enough to be compliant to the CiA 301 specification.
A mobile dialogue: Robust and simple to operate

One of 7844 products we developed for you with passion: Dialogue module PDM360 NG for mobile vehicles.

The powerful process and dialogue unit of the latest generation has a scratch-resistant high-resolution 7" TFT colour display. 9 backlit function keys with tactile feedback guarantee intuitive operation in the field.

Its robust diecast housing with protection rating IP 67 ensures the highest reliability for mounting inside or outside the cabin. It is easy to program and allows immediate fast and flexible use.
Lift systems realized, which are shown on fairs and conferences. Already in 2008, CiA members have exhibited a CleANopen (CiA 422) demonstrator comprising devices for refuse collecting vehicles from different manufacturers.

Since summer of this year, CiA has also set up a ‘golden’ CANopen network. It comprises a PLC with NMT master functionality and several CANopen NMT slave devices. This CANopen system is used to prove the interoperability of any submitted device. You may send your device to CiA. Oskar Kaplun or Reinhard Zitzmann will integrate this device into the system and perform functional as well as stress tests.

In order to support CANopen system designers, it would be helpful, when CANopen device manufacturers would provide a compliance statement. That is that you reference the version of the CiA specifications, which have been implemented. For example: CiA 301 version 4.2, CiA 302-2 version 4.0, CiA 305 version 1.0, CiA 406 version 2.0, and so on. It would also be nice to have a standardized CANopen compliance label, which could be printed on the product, if space is available.

If you like to substitute a CANopen device by another CANopen device from a different manufacturer, it may happen that there is no product with the same functionality available on the market. Of course, in some cases it is possible to configure the other device to integrate it into your system or you can adjust your application software. This is because most of the CiA specifications provide many optional functions. To make it easier for system designers, CiA started to reduce the possible number of variants by means of specifying several device classes for each profile. The profiles supporting such classes include CiA 425-2 (contrast media injectors) and CiA 851 (truck-mounted cranes). Also CiA 402 (electrical drives and motion controllers) specifies implicitly some device classes.

Oskar Kaplun finishes the paperwork for the performed CANopen conformance test. He prints the test results, adds his test report including hints how to improve the device, and provides all necessary information for CiA’s book keeping department in order to write the invoice. The apprentice packs the tested device and the necessary custom declaration, and calls the transport agency. The next device for CANopen conformance testing may arrive. Maybe it is yours.